Uniformity constrains innovative variants of the Sūzhōu Chinese fricative vowels

Matthew Faytak

University of California, Los Angeles faytak@ucla.edu

5th Workshop on Sound Change University of California, Davis



Broad argument

Sound change is mediated by a **bias toward uniformity** of speech sounds with other speech sounds

This is a manifestation of a more general tendency in language: speakers prefer **uniformity** in phonetic implementation

- » Learners select strategies for producing segments which use one or more articulators in the same way as other segments
- » Bias towards uniform implementation places a constraint on the **direction of evolution** of sound systems

Narrow arguments

Sūzhōu Chinese **fricative vowels** show uniformity: mainly articulated with a /ɕ/-like tongue shape (in speaker-specific terms)

» Tongue shapes also occasionally resemble /s/ or /i/ Changing patterns of dialect use have led to contact-induced change in Sūzhōu Chinese, the direction of which appears

constrained by uniformity

- » Younger speakers' fricative vowels show less overall similarity to /ɕ/
- » But their innovative variants of the fricative vowels are often simply uniform with a new series of segments, either /s/ or /i/

Overview

Background

- » Uniformity
- » Fricative vowels
- » Suzhou Chinese phonetics and phonology

Details of ultrasound study

- » Description of methods
- » Results

Discussion

- » Young speakers' innovative variants
- » Community-wide adoption in nearby dialects

Background: Uniformity

Uniform phonetic implementation

The phonetic implementation of a phonological feature or gesture tends to be **constrained**¹

- » Phonetic outputs tend towards being identical on some acoustic or articulatory dimension
- » Uniformity operates **within-speaker**: constrains a given speaker's characteristic "target" for a series of sounds

Attested for a variety of acoustic and articulatory parameters

- » Timing of aspiration in VOT²
- » Vowel height (and F1)³
- » Constriction location⁴

¹Chodroff, 2017; Faytak, 2018.

²Keating, 2003; Chodroff, 2017; Chodroff and Wilson, 2017.

³Ménard, Schwartz, and Aubin, 2008.

⁴Maddieson, 1996; Chodroff, 2017.

Tendency: high mutual predictability within speaker⁵ (speakers may vary in their characteristic VOT)



⁵Chodroff, 2017; Chodroff, Golden, and Wilson, 2019.

Tendency: high mutual predictability within speaker⁵ (speakers may vary in their characteristic VOT)



⁵Chodroff, 2017; Chodroff et al., 2019.

Tendency: high mutual predictability within speaker⁵ (speakers may vary in their characteristic VOT)



⁵Chodroff, 2017; Chodroff et al., 2019.

Infrequently attested: little to no mutual predictability within speaker⁵



⁵Chodroff, 2017; Chodroff et al., 2019.

Effects on language evolution

Bias toward uniformity places a constraint on the evolution of sound systems: uniform strategies should come to predominate, other factors held equal⁶

- » L1 learners especially favor uniform implementation: re-use is better than figuring out from scratch⁷
- » Cumulative effect: structured variation which may lead to formation of series⁸

⁶Faytak, 2018.

⁷Lindblom, 1998; Ménard et al., 2008; Lindblom, Diehl, Park, and Salvi, 2011; Loeb, 2012.

⁸Martinet, 1955; Maddieson, 1996; Clements, 2003.

⁹Keating, 2003.

Effects on language evolution

Bias toward uniformity places a constraint on the evolution of sound systems: uniform strategies should come to predominate, other factors held equal⁶

- » L1 learners especially favor uniform implementation: re-use is better than figuring out from scratch⁷
- » Cumulative effect: structured variation which may lead to formation of series⁸

But of course, other factors may counteract uniformity

- » Speakers may idiosyncratically prioritize articulatory ease or other factors over uniformity⁹
- » Contact-induced change may cause loss of structure

⁶Faytak, 2018.

⁷Lindblom, 1998; Ménard et al., 2008; Lindblom et al., 2011; Loeb, 2012.

⁸Martinet, 1955; Maddieson, 1996; Clements, 2003.

⁹Keating, 2003.

Background: Fricative vowels

Evolution of fricative vowels

Fricative vowels: fully voiced syllabic segments with light sibilant or shibilant frication

One sound change which uniformity may constrain: **high vowel fricativization**, in which high front vowels ***i**, ***y** develop into fricative vowels¹⁰

- » Due to phonologization of fricative noise and/or different constriction location
- » Often result in a chain shift in which lower vowels rise to occupy the empty corner of the vowel space

¹⁰Shi, 1998; Zhao, 2007; Zhu, 2004; Faytak, 2014.

Narrower and more anterior than [i], similar to strident fricative

Static palatography, Chángzhōu 常州 Wú dialect:

[pi] 边 'side'



[piz] 比'compare'



Narrower and more anterior than [i], similar to strident fricative

Static palatography, Chángzhōu 常州 Wú dialect:



[pi] 边 'side'

[piʑ] 比 'compare'



Narrower and more anterior than [i], similar to strident fricative

Static palatography, Wánghàozhèn 王浩镇 Jiānghuāi Mandarin dialect:

[pi] 边 'side'



[pi₂] 比 'compare'



Narrower and more anterior than [i], similar to strident fricative

Static palatography, Wánghàozhèn 王浩镇 Jiānghuāi Mandarin dialect:

[pi] 边 'side'



[pi₂] 比 'compare'



In China

Fricative vowels develop from ***i**, ***y** in many Chinese dialects, mainly Mandarin and Wú¹¹



¹¹Shi, 1998; Zhao, 2007; Qian, 1992; S. Wang, 2006.

Elsewhere

Fricative vowels from ***i**, ***y**, and sometimes high central vowels, are also attested elsewhere

- » Numerous minority languages of southwestern China¹²
- » Ryukyuan languages (far southern Japan)¹³
- » Grassfields Bantu (Cameroon)¹⁴
- » Debatably, Swedish Viby-i¹⁵

¹²M. Li and Ma, 1983; Chirkova, Wang, Chen, Amelot, and Antolík, 2015.

¹³Aoi, 2012.

¹⁴Fiore, 1987; Connell, 2007; Faytak, 2017.

¹⁵Schötz, Frid, Gustafsson, and Löfqvist, 2014; Westerberg, 2016.

Case study: Sūzhōu Chinese

Sūzhōu Chinese

Northern Wú dialect closely related to Shanghainese



Sūzhōu Chinese



Some local scenery: Master of Nets Garden 网师园

Faytak (UCLA)

Social situation

Likely 2-3 million speakers in Sūzhōu and the diaspora¹⁶

- » Younger speakers typically described as less fluent or "mixed" with Standard Chinese
- » Many younger speakers are not taught the dialect and learn only Standard Chinese in the home
- » Usage rates have been declining for younger generations¹⁷

¹⁶Zhengzhang, 1988; Yan, 1988.

¹⁷ P. Wang, 2003.

Faytak (UCLA)

Canonically, Sūzhōu Chinese has an unusual **six-way distinction** among rounded and unrounded high front vowels, **fricative vowels**, and **apical vowels**¹⁸

	High front	Fricative	Apical
Place	(Dorso-palatal)	(varies)	(Apico-alveolar)
Unrounded	i	İ _z	ן
Rounded	У	У₂	Ч

- » Can be thought of as a place contrast for constriction location
- » Apical vowels are more anterior than fricative vowels (apico-alveolar)

¹⁸Ye, 1988; X. Li, 1998; P. Wang, 2011.

The high front and "fricative" vowels robustly contrast

- » With and without alveolopalatal onsets such as /ɕ/
- » Other than the apical vowel, the unrounded vowels may co-occur with other onsets (bilabials, labiodentals, alveolars)



The high front and "fricative" vowels robustly contrast

- » With and without alveolopalatal onsets such as /ɕ/
- » Other than the apical vowel, the unrounded vowels may co-occur with other onsets (bilabials, labiodentals, alveolars)



Contrast between the apical and fricative vowels is more restricted



- » Apical vowels only occur after anterior coronal fricatives and affricates, i.e. /s/, /ts/
- » /y/, /y_z/ do not co-occur with the /s/ onset, and so the rounded apical and fricative vowels can be treated as allophones

Faytak (UCLA)

Variation in the fricative vowels

Constrictions for $[i_z]$ and $[y_z]$ can be made with **two different tongue postures**, as seen through **linguograms**¹⁹

Dorso-postalveolar, further back than fricatives such as [ɕ], but still anterior to [i]

Lamino-(post)alveolar, further front, much more closely resembling [s]





Tongue position

Same configurations can be observed in sagittal ultrasound tongue surface contours²⁰

Dorso-postalveolar: not quite [i], not quite [6] 08 (M, 51) i^æ

²⁰Faytak, 2018.

Faytak (UCLA)

Tongue position

Same configurations can be observed in sagittal ultrasound tongue surface contours²⁰



²⁰Faytak, 2018.

Faytak (UCLA)

Ultrasound study

Interim summary

What we know:

- » Fricative vowels contrast with high front vowels based on anterior constriction and increased fricative noise
- Multiple strategies for speakers to choose from (in Sūzhōu, at least)
- What we **don't know** and would like to find out:
 - » Which strategies actually predominate (prior study has small sample)
 - » Whether **uniformity** with [s] plays a role in strategy selection
 - » Uniform, [s]-like laminal articulator?
 - » Or **non-uniform** dorsal articulator?
 - » How contact with Standard Chinese affects this unusual system

Participants

44 speakers (16 male, ages 18-57) recruited in Gūsū district, Sūzhōu (苏州市姑苏区)

- » 22 younger than age 30: 11 male, ages 18-27, mean age 21
- » 22 older than age 30: 5 male, ages 37–57, mean age 48.3



Participants

Age difference is effectively a **language background** difference

- » Older speakers are nearly all sequential bilinguals: learned Sūzhōu Chinese in home, then Standard Chinese in primary school
- » Younger speakers are nearly all simultaneous bilinguals: learned Standard Chinese and Sūzhōu Chinese at the same time, in the home

 Age < 30</th>
 Age > 30

 Sequential
 4
 21

 Simultaneous
 18 1

Recording method

Ultrasound video recorded using Telemed EchoB

- » PV6.5/10/128 Z-3 microconvex probe recording at 54 frames per second
- » Probe stabilized with Articulate Instruments headset²¹
- Synchronized **audio recordings** collected at the same time
 - » Sony ECM-77B electret condenser microphone mounted on headset
 - » 44.1 kHz sampling rate

²¹Articulate Instruments Ltd., 2008.
Recording method



Stimuli

CV syllables which contain target consonants /s/, /ɕ/ and vowels

- » All items in upper register, mostly level tone [44] (阴平)
- » Items have fricative onsets (which are targets) or non-fricative onsets
- » Contain high front vowels, fricative vowels, or apical vowels

Stimuli

CV syllables which contain target consonants /s/, /ɕ/ and vowels

- » All items in upper register, mostly level tone [44] (阴平)
- » Items have fricative onsets (which are targets) or non-fricative onsets
- » Contain high front vowels, **fricative vowels**, or apical vowels

Stimuli

CV syllables which contain target consonants /s/, /ɕ/ and vowels

- » All items in upper register, mostly level tone [44] (阴平)
- » Items have fricative onsets (which are targets) or non-fricative onsets
- » Contain high front vowels, fricative vowels, or **apical vowels**

Ultrasound data

Midpoint frames of all target segments (fricatives, vowels) extracted, filtered to reduce noise²²

Pictured: processed [i] from Speaker 13



²²Mielke, Carignan, and Thomas, 2017.

Faytak (UCLA)

Ultrasound data

Midpoint frames of all target segments (fricatives, vowels) extracted, filtered to reduce noise²²

Pictured: processed [i] from Speaker 13



²²Mielke et al., 2017. Faytak (UCLA)

Analysis: dimensionality reduction

Problem: ultrasound image data is noisy and very high-dimensional; feature extraction (i.e. contour extraction) has low reliability and is painfully slow

Analysis: dimensionality reduction

Problem: ultrasound image data is noisy and very high-dimensional; feature extraction (i.e. contour extraction) has low reliability and is painfully slow

Solution: a two-step **dimensionality reduction** method using principal component analysis (PCA) and linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

- » Input data: tens of thousands of pixels for each observation
- » PCA result: a much smaller set of PC scores expressing patterns of covariance in the data
- » LDA result: score on a single **metric of similarity** to prototype segments /i/, /ɕ/, or /s/

Principal components analysis (PCA)

Eigenvectors for ultrasound data represent **covariation in pixel intensity** across the image; sometimes called **eigentongues**²³



²³Hueber et al., 2007; Hoole and Pouplier, 2017; Mielke et al., 2017.

Principal components analysis (PCA)

Can be related to basis data through eigenvalues/"PC scores"23



²³Hueber et al., 2007; Hoole and Pouplier, 2017; Mielke et al., 2017.

Principal components analysis (PCA)

First **ten PC scores** are retained as a lower-dimensional representation of the data

Separate PCAs are run for **each speaker**, because a single model including all speakers might also capture non-linguistic variation in PC scores:

- » Morphological variation (size, palate shape, etc.)
- » Ultrasound probe placement variation
- » Varying image quality across sessions (is the entire tongue surface visible)

Linear discriminant analyses (LDAs)

Using **PCs 1–10** as input, carry out two **linear discriminant analyses**, both in the following manner

- » Training, using prototype segments with known articulation as the classes
- » Testing:
 - » Transform data not used in training into linear discriminant space
 - » Provides insights on segments with unknown articulation: which classes they resemble

Linear discriminant analyses (LDA)

Training and testing phases of LDA both yield two useful types of data

- » Classification of each observation as one of the training categories
 - » Training phase: self-classification (is the LDA working?)
 - » Testing phase: classification of test data in terms of training data
 - » Can be used as **index of uniformity**: more unanimous classifications are more uniform
- » Linear discriminant (LD) scores for each observation (a continuous measure)
 - » Can be used to quantitatively assess degree of similarity of training and test data

Three-class vs two-class LDA

One of two LDAs carried out on the PCA data: use **/i/**, **/ɕ/**, **/s/** as training data

- » Test data: fricative vowels and apical vowels as a test case (known to be /s/-like)
- » Mostly for exploratory purposes: hard to compare models across participants
- » This space is used to **classify the fricative vowels**

Three-class vs two-class LDA

One of two LDAs carried out on the PCA data: use **/i/**, **/ɕ/**, **/s/** as training data

- » Test data: fricative vowels and apical vowels as a test case (known to be /s/-like)
- » Mostly for exploratory purposes: hard to compare models across participants
- » This space is used to **classify the fricative vowels**

The other, for **for statistical analysis**: simpler training set consisting of **/i/**, **///**

- » Test data: only fricative vowels
- » Low end is always /i/-like, high end is always /ɛ/-like, in speaker-specific terms
- » Can compare across speakers if range-normalized

Predictions

Classification of fricative vowels $/i_z/$, $/y_z/$ should mostly be as /a/

- » In both three-class and two-class LDAs
- » Regardless of presence of onset fricative

LD for fricative vowels $/i_z/$, $/y_z/$ should **correlate** with LD for $/ \epsilon/$

- » In other words, fricative vowel tongue shapes are predictable within speaker given /ɕ/ tongue shapes
- » Here, in terms of the two-class linear discriminant
- » Regardless of presence of onset fricative

Predictions

Classification of fricative vowels $/i_z/$, $/y_z/$ should mostly be as /a/

- » In both three-class and two-class LDAs
- » Regardless of presence of onset fricative

LD for fricative vowels $/i_z/$, $/y_z/$ should **correlate** with LD for $/ \epsilon/$

- » In other words, fricative vowel tongue shapes are predictable within speaker given /ɕ/ tongue shapes
- » Here, in terms of the two-class linear discriminant
- » Regardless of presence of onset fricative

May vary by **age group**, due to changing contact situation

Predictions, as a plot

Training segment's LD versus test segment's LD



Results: Speakers older than 30

Three-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/ 🗖 /s/

Fricative vowels are mostly **/ɕ/-like**, whether adjacent to a fricative (top) or not (bottom)



Groups: A, never less than 90% /ɕ/; **B**, more than 10% /i/ in some context; **C**, more than 10% /s/ in some context

Three-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/ 🗖 /s/

Apical vowels are almost entirely /s/-like



- » This reassures us that our PCA/LDA method is detecting real similarity
- » Apical vowels are known to have /s/-like tongue shapes

Two-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/

Fricative vowels are mostly **/ɕ/-like**, but note speaker 26: resolves as essentially /i/-like



Groups arranged as in three-class LDA

Two-class LDA: autocorrelation on LD

Moderate to strong correlations in LD which reach significance for both vowels (in both contexts) with $/ \omega/s$ LD (r = 0.4 to 0.6)



Correlations with median /i/ LD do not reach significance

Results: Speakers younger than 30

Three-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/ 🗖 /s/

Much more varied than older group; notably more speakers with /s/-like classification outcomes



Note speaker 13 (in group B) and speakers 36 and 1 (in group C)

Three-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/ 🗖 /s/



» For speakers 36 and 1, fricative vowels and apical vowels are essentially the same in one context

Two-class LDA: classification 🛛 📕 /i/ 🗖 /ɕ/

Young speakers classify as less strongly /ɕ/-like than old speakers



Note Speaker 31 resolves as essentially /i/-like with non-fricative onsets

Correlation

Young speakers' correlations with /ɕ/ (and /i/) **do not reach significance**



Correlation

For both segments in both contexts, older speakers have a stronger correlation with /ɕ/



Discussion

Fricative vowels are *mostly* uniform

Fricative vowel variants qualitatively similar to /ɕ/ predominate

- » Much more **structure** than if speakers were randomly selecting from known, apparently equivalent strategies
- » Suggests the influence of speaker-side uniformity bias

Some exceptions:

- » A minority of speakers across both age groups have less uniform fricative vowels (mixed classification)
- » Younger speakers show similarity to /s/ at a much greater rate

Younger speakers

Many younger speakers do not adhere to this pattern

- » Intensified contact disrupts the structured variation which typically holds (fewer unanimous classifications)
- » Factors other than uniformity bias appear to influence strategy selection for these speakers

In some individual cases, new variants appear to be **mediated by** existing structures, leading to **new patterns of structured** variation

- » Can be thought of as **uniform with different segments** rather than with /ɕ/
- » Four or five out of 22 younger speakers (including speaker 35)
- » Uniform implementation mediates which strategies a speaker picks

Community-level change

The individual innovations discussed here are **"micro" sound** changes²⁴

» Sound change in the broader speech community can be conceptualized as a shift in the "pool of variation" as a whole

We might ask ourselves: is there evidence for corresponding "macro" changes **at the community level**?

²⁴Ohala, 1989.

Faytak (UCLA)

Community-level change

The individual innovations discussed here are **"micro" sound** changes²⁴

» Sound change in the broader speech community can be conceptualized as a shift in the "pool of variation" as a whole

We might ask ourselves: is there evidence for corresponding "macro" changes **at the community level**?

Yes! Evolution of fricative vowels in neighboring dialects has frequently resulted in:

- » Merger with high front vowels
- » Neutralization (but not merger!) with apical vowels

²⁴Ohala, 1989.

Shànghǎi and surrounding areas



Shànghǎi and surrounding areas

Greater Shànghǎi area has merged fricative vowels with high front vowels²⁵

» Proliferation of /i/-like fricative vowel variants would encourage this



²⁵Zhu, 2006; Qian and Shen, 1991.

²⁶Ballard, 1969.

²⁷Zhu, 2006; Chen and Gussenhoven, 2015.


In Héféi 合肥 and nearby cities such as Lù'ān 六安, fricative vowels and apical vowels are produced identically²⁸

» Proliferation of /s/-like fricative vowel variants would encourage this



» "Apicalization" of fricative vowels turns out to be quite common (perhaps because it is more easily detectable)²⁹

²⁸Wu, 1995; Hou, 2009; Kong, Wu, and Li, 2019.

²⁹Zhao, 2007; Hu and Ling, 2019.

The resulting structural effects are **more complex** than in Shànghǎi: not precisely merger

- » Before: apical vowels after apico-alveolar onsets /s ts ts^h/, fricative vowels overlapping and elsewhere
- » After: apical vowels extend to all of these contexts

The resulting structural effects are **more complex** than in Shànghǎi: not precisely merger

- » Before: apical vowels after apico-alveolar onsets /s ts ts^h/, fricative vowels overlapping and elsewhere
- » After: apical vowels extend to all of these contexts
- Available evidence also suggests that [ɣ] did not exist before "apicalization"
 - » Pre-existing / η / may have influenced the development of * y_z

Concluding notes

Innovative variants of fricative vowels observed in Sūzhōu Chinese can be connected to **community-level change** in nearby dialects

- » "Isolated"³⁰ sounds are not observed at community level
- » If no series with /ɕ/, then a series with /s/ or /i/

Uniformity bias appears to mediate the development of these innovative variants

- » At the "micro" level
- » Possibly in the selection of variants which propagate to the community

³⁰Martinet, 1955.

Thanks 谢谢尔笃



- » Profs. Keith Johnson (Berkeley), Pat Keating (UCLA), Susan Lin (Berkeley) for comments
- » Prof. Chen Zhongmin 陈忠敏 (Fudan Univ.) and Wang Feifan 王 非凡 (Hong Kong Univ.) for logistics
- » Chen Rongru 陈容如 (Jinan Univ.) for help with sources

- Aoi, H. (2012). 宮古多良間方言における「中舌母音」の音声的解釈 [The ... "Central Vowel" in the Tarama Variety of Miyako Ryukyuan]. 言語研究 [Gengo Kenkyu], 142, 77–94.
- Articulate Instruments Ltd. (2008). Ultrasound Stabilisation Headset Users Manual: Revision 1.4. Articulate Instruments Ltd.
- Ballard, W. L. (1969). Phonological History of Wu. (Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley).
- Chen, Y. & Gussenhoven, C. (2015). Shanghai Chinese. Journal of the International Phonetic Association, 45(3), 321–337.
- Chirkova, K., Wang, D., Chen, Y., Amelot, A., & Antolík, T. K. (2015). Ersu. Journal of the International Phonetic Association, 45(2), 187–211.
- Chodroff, E. (2017). Structured variation in obstruent production and perception. (Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University).
- Chodroff, E., Golden, A., & Wilson, C. (2019). Covariation of stop voice onset time across languages: evidence for a universal constraint on phonetic realization. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 145(1), EL109–EL115.
- Chodroff, E. & Wilson, C. (2017). Structure in talker-specific phonetic realization: Covariation of stop consonant VOT in American English. Journal of Phonetics, 61, 30–47.
- Clements, G. N. (2003). Feature economy in sound systems. Phonology, 20(3), 287-333.
- Connell, B. (2007). Mambila fricative vowels and Bantu spirantisation. Africana Linguistica, 13, 7–31.
- Faytak, M. (2014). High vowel fricativization and chain shift. In UC Berkeley Phonology Lab Annual Report (Vol. 10).

- Faytak, M. (2017). Sonority in some languages of the Cameroon Grassfields. In M. J. Ball & N. Müller (Eds.), Challenging Sonority. Equinox.
- Faytak, M. (2018). Articulatory uniformity through articulatory reuse: insights from an ultrasound study of sūzhõu chinese. (Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley).
- Fiore, L. E. (1987). A phonology of Limbum. Univ. of Texas, Arlington.
- Hoole, P. & Pouplier, M. (2017). Öhman returns: New horizons in the collection and analysis of imaging data in speech production research. *Computer Speech & Language*, 45, 253–277.
- Hou, C. (2009). 合肥方言带擦元音 []] 的实验研究 [An experimental study on []] in Hefei Dialect. 南京师范大学文学院学报 [Journal of School of Chinese Language and Culture, Nanjing Normal University], (1), 172–77.
- Hu, F. & Ling, F. (2019). Fricative vowels as an intermediate stage of vowel apicalization. Language and Linguistics, 20(1), 1–14.
- Hueber, T., Aversano, G., Cholle, G., Denby, B., Dreyfus, G., Oussar, Y., ... Stone, M. (2007). Eigentongue feature extraction for an ultrasound-based silent speech interface. In *Icassp* 2007 (Vol. 1, pp. I–1245). IEEE.
- Keating, P. (2003). Phonetic and other influences on voicing contrasts. In Proceedings of ICPhS 15 (pp. 375–378).
- Kong, H., Wu, S., & Li, M. (2019). 合肥话舌尖元音的摩擦性质及感知增强理论解读 [The Frication Property of Apical Vowels in Hefei Chinese and Its Perceptual Enhancement Account]. 语言研究 [Studies in Language and Linguistics], (1), 23–33.
- Li, M. & Ma, M. (1983). 凉山彝语语音概论 [Introduction to the sounds of the Liangshan Yi language]. 四川民族出版社 [Sichuan Nationalities Press].

- Li, X. (1998). 苏州方言研究 [studies on the grammar of the sūzhōu dialect]. 北京大学出版社 [Peking University Press].
- Lindblom, B. (1998). Systemic constraints and adaptive change in the formation of sound structure. In J. R. Hurford, M. Studdert-Kennedy, & C. Knight (Eds.), Approaches to the evolution of language: Social and cognitive bases (pp. 242–264). Cambridge University Press Cambridge.
- Lindblom, B., Diehl, R., Park, S.-H., & Salvi, G. (2011). Sound systems are shaped by their users: the recombination of phonetic substance. In N. G. Clements & R. Ridouane (Eds.), Where do phonological features come from? (pp. 67–97). John Benjamins.

Ling, F. (2009). A phonetic study of the vowel system in Suzhou Chinese. (Doctoral dissertation, City University of Hong Kong).

Loeb, G. E. (2012). Optimal isn't good enough. Biological Cybernetics, 106(11-12), 757-765.

Maddieson, I. (1996). Gestural economy. UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics, 1-6.

Martinet, A. (1955). Économie des changements phonétiques: traité de phonologie diachronique. Éditions A. Francke.

- Ménard, L., Schwartz, J.-L., & Aubin, J. (2008). Invariance and variability in the production of the height feature in French vowels. Speech Communication, 50(1), 14–28.
- Mielke, J., Carignan, C., & Thomas, E. R. (2017). The articulatory dynamics of pre-velar and pre-nasal/æ/-raising in English: An ultrasound study. JASA, 142(1), 332–349.
- Ohala, J. J. (1989). Sound change is drawn from a pool of synchronic variation. In L. E. Breivik & E. H. Jahr (Eds.), Language change: contributions to the study of its causes (pp. 173–198). Mouton de Gruyter.

Qian, N. (1992). 当代吴语研究 [Studies in modern Wu languages]. 上海教育出版社 [Shanghai Education Press].

- Qian, N. & Shen, Z. (1991). The Changes in the Shanghai Dialect. Journal of Chinese Linguistics Monograph Series, (3), 375–425.
- Schötz, S., Frid, J., Gustafsson, L., & Löfqvist, A. (2014). Tongue articulation dynamics of /i, y, 0/ in Stockholm, Gothenburg and Malmöhus Swedish. In Proceedings of fonetik 2014 (pp. 17–22).
- Shi, R. (1998). 汉语方言中高元音的强摩擦倾向 [The inclination of high vowels to strong frication in Chinese dialects]. 语言研究 [Studies in Language and Linguistics], 1.
- Wang, P. (2003). 普通话和苏州话在苏州的消长研究 [Research on growth and decline of Standard Chinese and the Suzhou dialect]. 语言教学与研究 [Language Teaching and Linguistic Studies], (1), 29–36.
- Wang, P. (2011). 苏州方言研究 [Research on the Sūzhou dialect]. 中华书局 [Zhonghua Book Company].
- Wang, S. (2006). 青海方言元音 [i] 的舌尖化音变 [Apicalization sound change of the Qinghai dialects' [i] vowel]. 中国语文 [Zhongguo Yuwen], (4), 359–363.
- Westerberg, F. (2016). An auditory, acoustic, articulatory, and sociophonetic study of Swedish Viby-i. (Master's thesis, University of Glasgow).
- Wu, W. (1995). 合肥话 "-i"、"-y" 音节声韵母前化探讨 [Exploration of the fronting of "-i" and "-y" rhymes in the Hefei dialect]. 语言研究 [Studies in Language and Linguistics], (3), 58–60.
- Yan, Y. (1988). 吴语使用人口的再统计 [New statistics on the Wú-speaking population]. 中国语文 [Zhongguo Yuwen], (4), 287–289.
- Ye, X. (1988). 苏州方言志 [Record of the Suzhou Dialect]. 江苏教育出版社 [Jiangsu Education Press].

Zhao, R. (2007). 汉语方言中的 [i] > [ŋ] [Sound change of [i] > [ŋ] in Chinese dialects]. 中国语文 [Zhongguo Yuwen], (1), 46–54.

Zhengzhang, S. (1988). 关于吴语的人口 [On the Wú-speaking population]. 中国语文 [Zhongguo Yuwen], (4), 289-291.

- Zhu, X. (2004). 汉语元音的高顶出位 [Sound changes of high vowels in Chinese dialects]. 中国语文 [Zhongguo Yuwen], (5), 440-51.
- Zhu, X. (2006). A grammar of Shanghai Wu. LINCOM Europa.

Heterogeneity of three-class LDA solutions

Multiple LDs are **not comparable across participants**: no guarantee that variation encoded in a given LD is between the same two prototypes

» Contrast 4 versus 9, 25



LD over time, all speakers

What happened in about 1985?

